0 148182CR 107061F5 07/93





CEH Lancaster
Archive Copy
Please do NOT remove
Not for loan

1992 93

WILDLIFE
BIRDS AND POLLUTION

The **Institute of Terrestrial Ecology** is a component body of the Natural Environment Research Council. It was established in 1973, and now forms part of the Terrestrial and Freshwater Sciences Directorate of NERC.

Archive Copy
Please do NOT remove
Not for loan

1992 93

WILDLIFE BIRDS AND POLLUTION

ITE undertakes specialist ecological research on subjects ranging from micro-organisms to trees and mammals, from coastal habitats to uplands, from derelict land to air pollution. An understanding of the ecology of different species and of natural and man-made communities plays an increasingly important role in areas such as:

- monitoring ecological aspects of agriculture
- improving productivity in forestry
- controlling pests
- managing and conserving wildlife
- assessing the causes and effects of pollution
- rehabilitating disturbed sites

The staff can offer objective, impartial, advice on a wide range of topics, and can assess the impact of different land use options. ITE's applied and basic research contributes to the efficient use of the natural environment and provides information on which to base predictions of future trends.

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT TO7061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

- Part 1 Organochlorines and mercury in predatory birds, 1992
 - 2 Organochlorines and mercury in peregrine eggs, 1992
 - 3 Organochlorines and mercury in merlin eggs, 1992
 - 4 Organochlorines and mercury in golden eagle eggs, 1992
 - 5 Organochlorines and mercury in gannet eggs, 1992
 - 6 Rodenticides in barn owls
 - 7 Incidents investigated during 1992-93

I Newton, A Asher, P Freestone, M C French, H Malcolm, D Osborn, J Wright, C Wyatt & I Wyllie

CONTENTS

			Page
1	ORGAN	NOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PREDATORY BIRDS, 1992	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Results	1
	1.3	Reference	1
2	ORGAN	NOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PEREGRINE EGGS, 1992	9
	2.1	Introduction	9
	2.2	Results	9
	2.3	Reference	9
3	ORGAN	NOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN MERLIN EGGS, 1992	11
	3.1	Introduction	11
	3.2	Results	11
	3.3	Reference	11
4	ORGAN	NOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GOLDEN EAGLE EGGS, 1992	13
	4.1	Introduction ,	13
	4.2	Results	13
	4.3	Reference	13
5	ORGAN	NOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GANNET EGGS, 1992	15
	5.1	Introduction	15
	5.2	Results	15
	5.3	Reference	15
6	RODEN	NTICIDES IN BARN OWLS	18
	6.1	Introduction	18
	6.2	Results	18
	6.3	Reference	18

7 INCIDENTS INVESTIGATED DURING 1992-93 7.1 Birds killed in the Braer oiling incident 7.2 Swans from Orkney 7.3 Wader mortalities on the Wash 7.4 Further mortalities of seabirds near Bacton	DENTS INVESTIGATED DURING 1992-93	21	
	7.1	Birds killed in the Braer oiling incident	21
	7.2	Swans from Orkney	22
	7.3	Wader mortalities on the Wash	23
	7.4	Further mortalities of seabirds near Bacton	23
	7.5	References	24

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 1 Organochlorines and mercury in predatory birds, 1992

I Newton, A Asher, P Freestone, M C French, J Wright, C Wyatt & I Wyllie

1 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PREDATORY BIRDS, 1992

1.1 Introduction

The main objective of this work was to analyse the carcasses of predatory birds, supplied by members of the public, in order to continue the monitoring of organochlorine and metal residues in livers. The chemicals of interest included DDE (from the insecticide DDT), HEOD (from the insecticides aldrin and dieldrin), PCBs (polychlorinated biphenyls from industrial products) and Hg (mercury from agricultural and industrial sources). Throughout this section the levels of organochlorines are given as ppm in wet weight and of mercury as ppm in dry weight.

The main species involved included the sparrowhawk and kestrel, representing the terrestrial environment, and the fish-eating heron, kingfisher and great-crested grebe, representing the aquatic environment. The findings from various other species received during the year are also included. Earlier results from the programme were summarised by Newton et al (1993), and in previous reports in this series.

1.2 Results

During the past year, the livers from 221 birds were analysed, including those from 50 kestrels, 113 sparrowhawks, 12 herons, 7 kingfishers, 3 great-crested grebes and 28 others. These totals included some birds which had died in earlier years, but which were analysed in 1992. The results for each chemical from the main species (1992 specimens only) are given in Table 1, and the geometric mean levels in Table 2.

The birds received in 1992 contained many with surprisingly high levels of contaminants, well above the usual levels for their species. Such birds included a kestrel from Orkney with 67 ppm PCB and another from South Uist with 16 ppm mercury; six sparrowhawks with more than 15 ppm DDE; 14 sparrowhawks with more than 20 ppm PCB (including values of 75 and 88 from Greater London); four sparrowhawks with more than 10 ppm mercury (including a record 21 ppm in a Norfolk bird); a merlin from Cleveland with 21 ppm PCB and another from Stirling with 10 ppm mercury; a long-eared owl from Shetland (presumably a migrant) with 13 ppm DDE and 32 ppm PCB; and a Kingfisher from Strathclyde with 18 ppm DDE. Four herons and two bitterns also contained more than 10 ppm mercury, but high mercury levels are not unusual in these species.

Two significant differences in geometric mean values were found between the 1992 and 1991 results, out of 20 comparisons (Table 3). These involved increases in the levels of HEOD and PCBs in sparrowhawks. It is impossible to say whether these differences reflected real changes in exposure.

1.3 Reference

NEWTON, I., WYLLIE, I. & ASHER, A. 1993. Long-term trends in organochlorine and mercury residues in some predatory birds in Britain. Environ. Pollut. 79: 143-51.

Table 1. Levels of organochlorines (ppm in wet weight) and mercury (ppm in dry weight) in the livers of predatory birds analysed between April 1992 and March 1993.

ND=none detected.

Spec. Date no. found County Ag	e Se	ex DDE	HEOD	PCB	Нд
Kestrel (Falco tinnunculus)					
New York Continue New York New York		0.23 0.21 0.41 0.03 0.10 0.12 0.03 0.23 0.51 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.03 0.25 0.03 0.25 0.03 0.00	0.19 0.25 0.41 0.07 0.02 0.10 0.39 0.14 0.35 0.36 0.13 0.42 0.13 0.07 0.12 1.10 0.68 0.29 0.06 0.07 0.15 0.14 0.31 ND 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.15	0.68 1.62 0.80 2.75 0.44 1.27 2.28 1.10 2.37 6.92 0.78 1.30 0.87 2.29 0.37 5.44 3.30 0.15 0.15 0.45 0.45 0.58 1.34 1.24 3.93 0.44 0.58 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45	1.21 0.94 0.54 1.39 1.34 1.85 0.71 0.73 0.50 0.22 0.95 0.22 0.95 0.34 1.37 3.94 0.25 0.35 1.14 0.71 0.72 0.25 0.25 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.27

10982 Nov 92 10994 Dec 92 10995 Dec 92 10996 Dec 92 11000 Dec 92 11002 Dec 92 11006 Dec 92 11008 Dec 92 11065 Dec 92 Sparrowhawk (Ad	S'Clyde N. Yorks Camarthen Devon S'Clyde Cambs S. Uist Wilts Orkney	A J A J J A	F M F F M F	0.01 0.10 0.32 0.01 0.06 0.12 0.17 0.01 2.41	0.14 0.11 0.20 0.05 0.05 0.20 0.10 0.08 0.22	0.24 3.51 2.54 0.13 0.64 0.75 3.57 0.79 3.84	1.42 2.02 ND 0.25 0.81 1.01 15.82 1.12 9.73
10926 Jun 91 10725 Oct 91 10900 Oct 91 10645 Jan 92 10657 Jan 92 10660 Jan 92 10661 Jan 92 10662 Jan 92 10663 Jan 92 10668 Jan 92 10669 Feb 92 10693 Feb 92 10702 Feb 92 10705 Feb 92 10705 Feb 92 10705 Feb 92 10707 Feb 92 10717 Feb 92 10718 Feb 92 10718 Feb 92 10728 Feb 92 10731 Mar 92 10731 Mar 92 10731 Mar 92 10733 Mar 92 10735 Mar 92 10754 Mar 92 10753 Mar 92 10754 Mar 92 10755 Mar 92 10766 Mar 92 10767 Mar 92 10767 Mar 92 10768 Mar 92 10769 Mar 92 10760 Mar 92 10761 Mar 92 10763 Mar 92 10764 Mar 92 10765 Mar 92 10766 Apr 92 10767 Apr 92 10768 Apr 92 10768 Apr 92 10777 Apr 92 10778 Apr 92 10780 Apr 92 10782 Apr 92 10783 Apr 92	Cumbria Dorset Highland Humberside W. Glam. Cambs S. Humber. S. Devon Northants Notts W. Midlands Devon Hereford Glos Inverness Bedfordshire Renfrew Kilmarnock Central S. Clyde G. London Staffs Surrey Bucks Norfolk Inverness Norfolk Inverness Norfolk Northants G. London D & G Worcs Dorset Northants Beds Cambs Essex Kent Beds Hants Norfolk Derbyshire Berks Bucks Salop Worcs Cambs Mid Glam.	ADAAAJAJJAAAJJJAAGAJJJJAAAGAJJJJJAJAAJJJJJAJAAJA		0.20 4.18 1.16 1.84 0.39 6.45 0.13 10.40 0.32 0.72 0.95 13.67 10.31 7.73 6.48 11.76 6.08 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.49 11.40 1	0.05 0.36 0.23 0.09 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.25 0.71 0.17 0.10 0.33 0.39 0.38 0.29 0.14 0.18 2.94 1.21 0.18 2.94 1.21 0.19 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.16 0.17 0.18 0.18 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19	0.82 6.53 0.75 2.35 2.74 0.63 15.93 0.56 8.17 0.88 1.25 10.81 1.40 11.40 11.40 11.40 11.40 11.40 11.40 11.71 11.73 11.99	2.36 3.89 0.72 3.97 0.78 0.78 1.13 1.57 1.73 1.57 1.33 1.57 1.33 1.51 1.53 1.53 1.53 1.53 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.6

10786 10792	Apr 92 Apr 92	Cheshire H & W	J	F	0.69 8.58	0.14	2.91 7.97	NA NA
10798 10808	Apr 92 Apr 92	IOW Gwent	A A	M M	0.83 8.55	0.05 0.18	1.95 9.98	NA NA
10901	Apr 92	Inverness	J	F	8.20	0.54	18.97	5.22
10960	Apr 92	Suffolk	J	М	14.42	0.22	17.10	3.77
10794 10807	May 92 May 92	H & W Warks	À	Ė	7.02 1.00	0.48 0.17	16.18 2.37	3.79 NA
10834	May 92	Leics	A	F	0.95	0.13	0.91	4.64
10987	May 92	Bucks	Α	F	12.17	1.82	38.29	3.59
10951	Jun 92	Northants	A	F	0.11	0.10	0.08	NA O E4
10827 10874	Jul 92 Jul 92	Leics H & W	Α	M	0.43 16.83	0.25 0.76	2.19 22.88	0.54 NA
10836	Aug 92	Northants	j	M	0.20	0.05	0.15	NA
10837	Aug 92	Worcs	J	M	0.19	0.11	0.27	NA
10839 10840	Aug 92	E. Ireland	A J	F M	5.46 0.65	0.15 0.24	17.57 3.95	NA NA
10844	Aug 92 Aug 92	Notts Argyll	Ĵ	F	0.30	0.24	2.58	9.95
10845	Aug 92	Cambs	J	F	0.19	0.03	0.08	0.64
10848	Aug 92	Essex	J	F	0.39	0.05	0.48	0.29
10850 10853	Aug 92	Rhondda	J J	F F	0.09 0.05	0.04 0.04	0.20 0.11	0.50 NA
10855	Aug 92 Aug 92	Angus Worcs		E *.	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.32
10856	Aug 92	Gwynnedd	J	F	2.86	0.16	4.21	5.57
10859	Aug 92	Derbyshire	J	F	0.11	0.11	0.73	0.44
10860 10861	Aug 92 Aug 92	W. Sussex Worcs	J	M M	0.34 0.12	0.09 0.04	ND 0.42	ND 0.67
10862	Aug 92	S'Clyde	Ĵ	F	0.14	0.03	0.59	NA
10864	Aug 92	Norfolk	J	F	0.05	0.04	0.23	1.60
10867	Aug 92	Durham	Α	F	0.08	0.04	0.68	ND ND
10872 10873	Aug 92 Aug 92	H & W Worcs			1.67 0.14	0.05 0.08	0.28 0.50	0.34
10875	Aug 92	Worcs			2.10	0.23	5.52	0.61
10876	Aug 92	Wilts	J	. F	0.25	0.05	0.57	0.88
10879 10898	Aug 92 Aug 92	Essex Inverness	J	, F F	0.29 0.04	0.03 0.02	0.24 0.13	0.46 1.71
10903	Aug 92 Aug 92	Highland	j	F	0.17	0.02	0.15	2.26
10877	Sep 92	Cheshire	J	F	0.19	0.04	0.20	1.24
10883	Sep 92	Herts	J	F	13.24	0.52	40.68	0.57
10884 10888	Sep 92 Sep 92	Salop Devon	J	F F F F	0.10 0.13	0.10 0.09	0.85 0.28	0.67 1.11
10890	Sep 92	Glos	j	F	0.14	0.05	0.21	0.58
10892	Sep 92	Cumbria	J	F	0.06	0.02	0.15	0.80
10902	Sep 92	Highland	A		4.12	0.90	1.93	4.20
10928 10933	Sep 92 Sep 92	Ayrshire Ross-shire	A J	M F F	0.67 0.19	0.05 0.02	1.84 0.08	3.23 1.49
10937	Oct 92	Grampian	J	F	0.22	0.02	0.01	0.53
10940	Oct 92	Merseyside	J	F	0.05	0.03	0.16	0.37
10952 10954	Oct 92 Oct 92	Sa lop Bods	J	M F	3.28 0.39	0.14 0.06	2.80 0.06	4.19 0.73
10954	Oct 92	Beds Devon	j	М	0.39	0.45	ND	ND
10961	Oct 92	Worcs	J	M F	5.26	0.34	9.91	0.37
10963	Oct 92	Cheshire	J	М	0.09	0.06	0.33	0.66
10967 10969	Oct 92 Nov 92	Hants W. Midlands	J	M F	0.38 0.05	0.04 0.07	0.33 0.13	0.90 0.55
10909	Nov 92 Nov 92	Herts	J	М	0.03	0.07	0.13	0.69
10976	Nov 92	G. Manchester	J	F	0.09	0.08	0.97	0.62
10977	Nov 92	Derbyshire	J	M F	0.16	0.06	1.15	0.74
10978 10984	Nov 92 Nov 92	Cornwall Notts	J	M	0.40 0.21	0.05 0.05	0.83 0.53	0.90 0.75
10999	Dec 92	110003	j	F	0.30	0.06	1.79	0.36

11004 11005 11007	Dec 92 Dec 92 Dec 92	Norfolk W. Yorks Oxon	J J A	F M F	4.45 0.11 5.02	1.25 0.07 0.62	3.66 0.62 4.52	1.64 1.50 0.74
Peregr	ine Falcor	(<u>Falco peregrinus</u>))					
10768 10799 10866 10972	Mar 92 Apr 92 Aug 92 Nov 92	S'Clyde D & G Orkney	A A J J	M F M F	1.51 1.25 0.79 0.41	0.03 0.58 0.07 0.08	2.39 5.76 2.69 3.38	0.77 1.29 1.86 4.97
Goshawk	(<u>Accipit</u>	er gentilis)						
10858	Aug 92	Gwent	•	8	0.03	0.11	0.75	0.56
Merlin	(Falco co	lumbarius)						
10744 10752 10811 10812 10816 10817 10818 10897 10970 10949	Mar 92 Mar 92 May 92 Jun 92 Jul 92 Jul 92 Jul 92 Aug 92 Sep 92 Oct 92	Yorks Lancs Durham Yorks Borders Borders Borders Sutherland Ayr Stirling	A A J J J J J	M F F F F M F M	1.70 1.28 13.69 0.04 0.10 0.13 0.16 0.79 0.33 1.66	0.11 0.34 4.08 0.08 0.07 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.09 0.47	6.72 4.05 10.36 0.15 0.34 1.05 0.30 2.41 2.39 2.00	1.85 7.25 3.00 ND ND 0.56 0.35 8.68 8.01 10.47
Hobby ((<u>Falco sub</u>	o <u>buteo</u>)						
10886	Sep 92	Cambs	Α	F	5.61	0.78	11.70	2.25
0sprey	(<u>Pandion</u>	haliaetus)						
10936	Aug 92	Ross-shire	J	, F	0.24	0.05	0.96	3.78
Buzzaro	d (<u>Buteo</u> l	outeo)						
10696 11022 10968 10986	Feb 92 Sep 92 92 Nov 92	Gwent Beds Notts Highland	A A A	M F F	0.01 0.05 0.01 0.04	0.04 0.10 0.03 0.05	0.11 0.15 ND 0.98	0.40 0.20 0.22 0.54
Marsh H	Harrier (<u>(</u>	Circus aeruginosus)						
10912	Sep 92	Cambs	Α	F	0.13	0.05	0.03	0.17
Long-ea	ared Owl	(<u>Asio otus</u>)						
10703 10774 10787 10796 10904 10802	Feb 92 Apr 92 Apr 92 May 92 May 92 Jun 92	Cambs London Shetland S'clyde Highland Cheshire	A J A J	F F M F	0.12 0.06 13.84 0.04 0.05 0.08	0.05 0.05 0.65 0.05 0.03 0.08	0.21 0.15 32.29 0.16 0.04 0.59	0.24 0.12 3.03 0.16 0.30 0.10

Little (Little Owl (Athene noctua)							
10729 10789 10809 10813 10849 10983 10991	Mar 92 Apr 92 Jun 92 Jun 92 Aug 92 Nov 92 Nov 92	Dyfed Hants Wilts Wilts Herts Suffolk Suffolk	A A A J J A	M F M M M	0.01 0.19 0.08 1.46 0.02 0.14 0.11	0.04 0.12 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.05 0.04	1.13 0.59 0.12 1.40 0.01 0.51 0.04	0.27 0.55 1.32 2.81 0.28 0.45 0.33
Short-ea	ared Owl (Asio flammeus)						
10667 10905	Jan 92 Jul 92	Hampshire Sutherland	J J	F F	0.19 0.18	0.14 0.02	0.53 0.12	0.52 2.39
Heron (Ardea cine	rea)						
10643 10694 10712 10704 10758 10942 10885 10918 10919 10971 11080 11003	Jan 92 Jan 92 Jan 92 Feb 92 Mar 92 Apr 92 Sep 92 Sep 92 Sep 92 Nov 92 Nov 92 Dec 92	Norfolk Tyne & Wear Grampian Cambs Highland Powys N'umberland Essex Essex S'Clyde Highland Caithness	A A J	F F F M · M F F F F F	2.51 0.09 4.65 0.04 0.22 0.54 0.16 0.01 0.04 0.05 0.69 0.02	0.06 0.08 0.16 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.14	6.83 2.03 4.25 0.20 2.71 0.75 1.72 0.15 1.17 0.41 2.86 0.53	5.82 6.05 15.47 1.99 32.66 3.82 5.72 2.92 6.35 2.53 16.28 15.68
Bittern	(<u>Botaurus</u>	stellaris)						
11043	Oct 92	Norfolk	J	М	0.13	0.01	0.12	10.92
Kingfish	ner (<u>Alced</u>	o atthis)	9	į				
10771 10815 10828 10832 10927 10955 10956	Apr 92 Jul 92 Jul 92 Aug 92 Oct 92 Oct 92 Oct 92	Essex Beds Mid Glam IOW London S'clyde Kent	A J J J A	M M M M F M	5.91 0.09 0.08 0.48 1.01 18.38 10.50	1.44 0.23 0.40 0.42 0.25 0.56 1.48	19.34 0.18 0.11 1.11 5.18 3.45 4.55	2.24 1.57 0.50 1.46 1.30 3.91 8.66
Great-ci	rested Gre	be (<u>Podiceps crist</u>	atus)				
10769 10776 10915	Apr 92 Apr 92 Aug 92	S. Yorks Wilts Staffs	A A A	M F M	3.18 0.13 2.22	1.34 0.06 0.01	18.37 1.56 4.34	6.51 6.04 9.85

Table 2. Geometric mean levels of pollutants in the various species in Table 1, but for 1992 specimens only.

	HEOD	p,p′-DDE	PCBs	Нд
<u>Kestrel</u>				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	47	47	0.86 47 0.73 - 1.02	47
<u>Sparrowhawk</u>				
Mean N Range within 1 SE			0.65 110 1.34 - 2.02	
<u>Merlin</u>				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	10	0.50 10 0.29 - 0.87	10	
<u>Heron</u>				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	0.06 12 0.05 - 0.06	0.16 12 0.09 - 0.27	1.13 12 0.80 - 1.60	6.77 12 5.27 - 8.69
Kingfisher		,		
Mean N Range within 1 SE	7	7	1.66 7 0.81 - 3.38	7
Great-crested Grebe				
Mean N Range within 1 SE	3	0.97 3 0.35 - 2.67	3	7.29 3 6.26 - 8.49

Note: Zero values (ND) were taken as 0.001 for all residues

Table 3. Comparison of geometric mean residue levels (log values) from birds collected in 1991 and 1992; t-values are shown. Minus values indicate a decrease and plus values indicate and increase from 1991.

	HEOD	p,p′-DDE	PCBs	Нд
Kestrel	t ₁₁₄ =-0.18	t ₁₁₄ =-0.44	t ₁₁₄ =+1.74	t ₁₁₄ =-1.26
Sparrowhawk	t ₂₂₀ =+3.47***	t ₂₂₀ =+1.86	t ₂₂₀ =+3.78***	t ₁₉₉ =-1.28
Merlin	$t_{15} = +0.09$	t ₁₅ =-1.03	$t_{15} = +0.89$	t ₁₅ =-0.81
Kingfisher	$t_{16} = +0.45$	t ₁₆ =+0.92	$t_{16} = +0.26$	t ₁₆ =-0.41
Heron	t ₃₈ =-1.52	t ₃₈ =-0.95	t ₃₈ =+0.29	t ₃₈ =-0.74

Notes: Zero values were taken as 0.001 for all residues.

^{*} significance of difference P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT TO7061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 2 Organochlorines and mercury in peregrine eggs, 1992

I Newton, A Asher, P Freestone, M C French, J Wright, C Wyatt & I Wyllie

2 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN PEREGRINE EGGS, 1992

2.1 Introduction

The findings from all peregrine eggs analysed between 1961 and 1986 were summarised in Newton $et\ al\ (1989)$; those from eggs analysed in 1987-91 are given in previous reports in this series, and those from eggs analysed in 1992 are given in Table 4.

2.2 Results

Among the eggs from 14 clutches received in 1992, none of the contaminants were at high level, compared to previous years. This continues the trend of declining contamination in this species. The only figure of note was a level of 11 ppm PCB in an egg from Inverness-shire.

2.3 Reference

NEWTON, I., BOGAN, J.A. & HAAS, M.B. 1989. Organochlorines and mercury in British Peregrine eggs. Ibis 131; 355-376.

Table 4. Residue levels (organochlorine ppm wet weight; mercury ppm dry weight) and shell-indices for Peregrine eggs analysed in 1992. ND=none detected.

Egg No.	Year	County	Shell Index	pp'-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Нд
SOUTHERN ENGLAND							
E5206 E5232	92 92	Cornwall Cornwall	1.79 1.89	1.26 0.29	0.11 0.05	2.87 1.93	0.40 0.23
WALES							
E5215 E5216 E5333	92 92 92	W. Glam.	1.90 1.72 2.00	0.52 1.36 1.08	0.11 0.10 0.11	1.18 2.31 0.98	0.83 0.82 0.16
NORTHERN	ENGL	AND					
E5359 E5360 E5361 E5362 E5363	92 92 92 92 92	Cumbria Cumbria Cumbria Cumbria Cumbria	1.69 1.72 1.71 1.43 2.05	2.41 1.41 0.18 1.46 0.87	0.13 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.04	5.89 3.89 1.92 2.07 5.49	0.56 1.24 0.22 0.68 0.53
SOUTHERN	SCOT	LAND					
E5210 E5261	92 92	Borders Edinburgh	1.79	0.20 1.23	0.03 0.05	0.45 0.43	0.37 0.27
CENTRAL AND EASTERN HIGHLANDS							
E5492 E5493 E5515 E5517	84 84 92 92	Highland Inverness L. Deeside L. Deeside	1.96 1.93 1.75	0.70 1.74 0.61 0.64	0.32 0.08 0.02 0.04	3.93 10.65 0.34 0.55	1.73 1.60 ND 0.38

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT TO7061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 3 Organochlorines and mercury in merlin eggs, 1992

I Newton, A Asher, P Freestone, M C French, J Wright, C Wyatt & I Wyllie

3 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN MERLIN EGGS, 1992

3.1 Introduction

The findings from most previous analyses of merlin eggs were given in Newton & Haas (1988), those from 1987-1991 in previous reports in this series, while those from 1992 are summarised in Table 5.

3.2 Results

The results from these additional 24 merlin clutches serve to confirm that the merlin continues to be the most contaminated of the British raptors. As in previous years, mercury was present at high level (3.8-12.1 ppm in dry weight) in eggs from the Northern Isles.

3.3 Reference

NEWTON, I. & HAAS, M.B. 1988. Pollutants in Merlin eggs and their effects on breeding. Brit. Birds 81: 258-269.

Table 5. Residue levels (organochlorine ppm wet weight; mercury ppm dry weight) and shell-indices for Merlin eggs analysed in 1992. ND=None detected

Table 1					
County	Shell Index	DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Hg
SOUTHERN E	ENGLAND				
E5204	æ:	1.23(18.99)	0.08(1.28)	2.15(33.27)	4.26
WALES					
E5334	1.10	3.63(60.94)	0.28(4.77)	3.89(65.25)	1.25
NORTHERN E	<u>ENGLAND</u>				
E5200 E5364 E5365 E5366 E5367 E5368 E5371 E5372 E5373 E5374 E5375 E5376 E5377	0.99 1.22 1.30 1.21 1.09 1.15 1.15 1.21 1.17 1.31 1.12 1.11	7.62(95.67) 3.90(98.11) 4.71(94.09) 4.49(101.53) 5.30(102.28) 3.26(58.79) 5.60(78.36) 5.14(72.26) 3.64(70.13) 2.19(38.45) 6.15(105.01) 3.66(60.09) 4.84(88.40) 1.60(33.01)	3.00(37.66) 0.23(5.78) 0.39(7.84) 0.31(7.11) 0.44(8.53) 0.21(3.79) 0.31(4.29) 0.28(3.93) 0.10(1.87) 0.08(1.40) 0.09(1.58) 0.46(7.61) 0.30(5.39) 0.14(2.85)	3.04(38.16) 1.88(47.25) 2.32(46.46) 2.08(47.08) 2.39(46.14) 6.72(121.03) 4.20(53.74) 3.93(54.96) 2.50(48.08) 2.75(48.31) 5.44(92.79) 2.99(49.02) 3.33(60.83) 1.81(37.32)	0.53 2.75 2.36 2.98 3.41 4.35 2.97 3.31 2.45 2.99 2.44 1.50 2.48 2.98
<u>HIGHLANDS</u>					
E5190	1.26	3.37(52.91)	0.23(3.59)	3.02(47.36)	2.32
NORTHERN	<u>ISLES</u>				
E5462 E5498 E5500 E5502 E5504 E5505 E5506	1.16 1.15 1.14	5.96(40.650 0.37(18.13) 4.43(94.98) 2.40(69.94) 0.90(21.48) 1.65(29.03) 0.79(23.88)	0.15(1.00) 0.05(2.47) 0.07(1.45) 0.06(1.61) 0.05(1.08) 0.05(0.93) 0.03(0.96)	3.77(25.75) 0.97(47.00) 3.10(66.48) 3.19(92.73) 1.99(47.48) 1.68(29.45) 1.85(55.96)	3.83 10.16 4.54 7.86 8.31 9.97 12.08

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT TO7061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 4 Organochlorines and mercury in golden eagle eggs, 1992

I Newton, A Asher, P Freestone, M C French, J Wright, C Wyatt & I Wyllie

4 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GOLDEN EAGLE EGGS, 1992

4.1 Introduction

The findings from earlier analyses of golden eagle eggs to 1986 were given in Newton & Galbraith (1991), and those from 1987-91 in the previous reports in this series. The results for eggs analysed in 1992 are summarised in Table 6.

4.2 Results

Recent analyses serve to confirm the low levels of contamination found in recent years in eagle eggs from inland districts (Table 6). All results were well within the range of previous values. Some coastal eggs were also obtained in 1992, and one contained a relatively high level of PCB, at 19 ppm.

4.3 Reference

NEWTON, I. & GALBRAITH, A.E. 1991. Organochlorines and mercury in the eggs of Golden Eagles Aquila chrysaetos from Scotland. Ibis 133: 115-120.

Table 6. Residue levels (organochlorine ppm wet weight; Mercury ppm dry weight) and shell-indices for Golden Eagle eggs received in 1992.

Number	SI	DDE	HEOD	PCB	Hg				
WESTERN SCOTLAND - COASTAL									
E5209 E5246 E5344 E5439 E5440	2.70 2.29 2.54 2.73 2.84	0.16 2.44 0.11 0.07 0.16	0.06 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.04	2.55 19.27 1.07 0.77 2.02	0.33 0.48 1.03 ND ND				
WESTERN S	COTLAND - IN	_AND							
E5249 E5345 E5441 E5442	3.17 3.93 3.34 3.25	0.12 0.11 0.02 0.02	0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01	0.82 0.75 1.58 0.58	1.69 ND 0.15 0.18				
EASTERN S	EASTERN SCOTLAND - INLAND								
E5158 E5198 E5343	3.37	0.01 ND 0.01	0.03 0.28 0.03	0.40 0.13 0.01	0.49 ND ND				

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 5 Organochlorines and mercury in gannet eggs, 1992

I Newton, A Asher, P Freestone, M C French, J Wright, C Wyatt & I Wyllie

5 ORGANOCHLORINES AND MERCURY IN GANNET EGGS, 1992

5.1 Introduction

The findings from all gannet eggs collected during the period 1971-87 were summarised in Newton et al (1989), while those from 1988-92 were given in previous reports in this series. The findings for eggs from three colonies sampled in 1992 are summarised in Table 7.

5.2 Results

At all three colonies the levels of all contaminants were generally low, but a PCB level of 32 ppm was found in an egg from Ailsa Craig.

Comparing the eggs from different colonies, the mean shell index was significantly lower at Ailsa Craig than at both Bass Rock and Hermaness, and the goemetric mean mercury level was significantly lower at Bass Rock than Hermaness (Table 8a). These results were in line with previous findings. Compared with the previous samples of eggs from the same colonies, significant declines were evident in the levels of HEOD at Ailsa Craig and Bass Rock, significant declines in DDE at Hermaness and Bass Rock, and a significant increase in PCBs at Hermaness (Table 8b). It is hard to judge the biological significance of these temporal variations except in a longer term context.

5.3 Reference

NEWTON, I., HAAS, M.B. & FREESTONE, P. 1990. Trends in organochlorine and mercury levels in gannet eggs. Environ. Pollut. 63: 1-12.

Table 7. Residues of organochlorines (ppm wet weight) and mercury (ppm dry weight) in the eggs of Gannets (<u>Sula bassana</u>), 1992.

Colony	Shell Index*	DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Нд
BASS ROCK	3.24 2.90 3.15 3.26 3.19 2.79 3.16 3.18 2.87 3.28	0.09 0.13 0.05 0.14 0.06 0.24 0.08 0.11 0.06 0.06	0.07 0.12 0.08 0.14 0.10 0.09 0.06 0.08 0.11 0.09	1.72 3.47 1.29 4.31 1.22 4.23 2.05 1.73 2.93 1.99	1.65 2.00 1.32 1.47 1.88 2.14 1.35 1.90 1.50
Mean SD Range within 1 SE	3.10 0.18 3.04-3.16	0.09 0.21 0.08-0.11	0.09 0.11 0.08-0.10	2.26 0.20 1.96-2.62	1.65 0.07 1.56-1.
<u>HERMANESS</u>	2.79 3.17 3.20 2.96 3.01 3.29 3.16 3.32 3.36 3.13	0.06 0.09 0.14 0.11 0.07 0.07 0.11 0.10 0.13	0.07 0.05 0.17 0.08 0.08 0.11 0.12 0.12 0.13	0.96 1.28 2.33 2.25 1.13 1.73 1.67 2.15 2.45 1.23	2.95 3.07 3.62 5.18 3.02 2.89 2.24 2.27 2.83 2.82
Mean SD Range within 1SE	3.14 0.18 3.08-3.20	0.09 0.12 0.08-0.10	0.10 0.15 0.09-0.11	1.63 0.15 1.47-1.82	3.01 0.10 2.79-3.
<u>AILSA CRAIG</u>	2.75 2.92 2.85 2.93 2.83 2.84 2.61 3.02 2.88 2.89	0.08 0.23 0.05 0.03 0.06 0.20 2.52 0.05 0.11 0.34	0.07 0.17 0.07 0.09 0.06 0.14 0.21 0.04 0.07	2.71 3.79 1.02 0.87 1.31 6.48 31.99 1.14 2.80 5.64	2.04 5.14 2.18 1.00 2.04 0.73 1.43 2.50 2.10 3.80
Mean SD Range within 1SE	2.85 0.11 2.82-2.89	0.13 0.56 0.09-0.20	0.08 0.23 0.07-0.10	2.92 0.48 2.06-4.14	1.99 0.25 1.66-2.3

Zero values (ND) were taken as 0.01 for all residues

^{*}Means: arithmetic for Shell index; geometric otherwise

Table 8a. Changes in geometric mean residue levels (log values) and shell index (arithmetic mean) in Gannet eggs from different sites, collected in 1992.

	*P<0.05 **P<	:0.01 ***P<0.001		
Shell Index	pp′-DDE	HEOD	PCBs	Нд
——————————————————————————————————————	g v. Bass Rock	, 1992		
t ₁₈ =+3.76**	t ₁₈ =-0.85	t_{18} =+0.41	$t_{18} = -0.67$	t_{18} =+1.00
Ailsa Crai	g v. Hermaness	, 1992		
t ₁₈ =+4.36**	* $t_{18} = -0.84$	$t_{18} = +0.71$	t ₁₈ =-1.59	$t_{18} = +2.10$
Bass Rock	v. Hermaness,	1992		
t ₁₈ =+0.47	$t_{18} = +0.12$	$t_{18} = +0.48$	$t_{18} = -1.80$	t ₁₈ =+6.50***
Table 8b.	(arithmetic me from the same	ometric mean residu ean) in 1992 Gannet site. (No eggs wer <0.01 ***P<0.001	eggs compared wi	ues) and shell inde: th previous eggs t. Kilda in 1992)
Shell Index	pp'-DDI	E HEOD	PCBs	Нд
<u>Ailsa Crai</u> (1990 v.				
t ₁₇ =-1.80	t ₁₈ =+0.74	t ₁₈ =-3.64**	$t_{18} = +0.74$	t ₁₈ =-0.18
Bass Rock (1990 v.	1992)			

 $t_{18}=0.02$ $t_{18}=-2.89**$ $t_{18}=+0.24$ $t_{18}=+7.07***$ $t_{18}=-0.70$

 $t_{18} = +0.40$

<u>Hermaness</u>

(1991 v. 1992)

 $t_{18} = -2.25$ * $t_{18} = -8.45$ *** $t_{18} = -1.90$ $t_{18} = +1.59$

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 6 Rodenticides in barn owls

I Newton, A Asher, P Freestone, C Wyatt & I Wyllie

6 RODENTICIDES IN BARN OWLS

6.1 Introduction

The aim of this work was to screen barn owl carcasses for residues of 'second generation' rodenticides. The carcasses were supplied by members of the public, and included birds which had died from various causes, mainly accidents. The chemicals of interest included difenacoum, bromadiolone, brodifacoum and flocoumafen. The findings from all barn owls analysed in previous years were given in Newton $et\ al\ (1990)$ and in previous reports in this series, while those from 60 birds examined in 1992 are given in Table 9.

6.2 Results

Residues were detected in 15 (25%) of the 60 birds examined, about the same overall percentage as in the last three years. Brodifacoum was detected in three birds, difenacoum in 12, bromadiolone in four and flocoumafen in one. The two birds in which more than one chemical was detected included one from Hants in which all four chemicals were found. Most of the residues were well below the level associated with death, but three birds contained bromadiolone at levels (0.26-0.33 ppm) which were probably approaching lethal. However, no symptoms of rodenticide poisoning were seen in these birds, which were classed on post-mortem as victims of starvation (two birds) and road accident (one). The appearance of flocoumafen in only one individual is in keeping with the more recent introduction of this chemical.

6.3 Reference

NEWTON, I., WYLLIE, I. & FREESTONE, P. 1990. Rodenticides in British Barn Owls. Environ. Pollut. 68: 101-117.

Table 9. Levels of rodenticides (ppm in wet weight) in the livers of Barn Owls (Tyto alba) analysed in 1992.

ND=None detected; J=juvenile in first year; A=adult other than first year; M=male; F=female; brod=brodifacoum; difen=difenacoum; brom=bromadialone; floc=flocoumafen.

No.	Date	County	Age	Sex	brod	difen	brom	floc
10823	Sep 90	Norfolk	J	М	ND	ND	ND	ND
10824	Aug 91	Norfolk	Ą	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10825 10647	Dec 91 Jan 92	Norfolk Lincs	J J	F F	ND ND	ND 0.135	ND ND	ND ND
10656	Jan 92	IOM	J	M	ND	0.135 ND	ND	ND
10666	Jan 92	Leics	j	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10670	Jan 92	Lincs	j	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10671	Jan 92	Herefords	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10676	Jan 92	Wilts	J	М	ND	0.023	ND	ND
10683	Jan 92	Berks	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10684	Jan 92	Sussex	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10686 10687	Feb 92 Feb 92	Shropshire	J J	M M	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND
10687	Feb 92	Herefords Gwynedd	J	rı F	ND	0.017	ND	ND
10690	Feb 92	Essex	j	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10691	Feb 92	Kent	j	F	ND	0.028	ND	ND
10695	Feb 92	Cumbria	J	М	ND	ND	ND	ND
10701	Feb 92	Lanarks	J	M ®	ND	ND	ND	ND
10709	Feb 92	Cambs	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10721	Feb 92	Avon	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10732	Mar 92 Mar 92	Yorks	A J	F M	ND ND	ND	ND ND	ND
10737 10741	Mar 92 Mar 92	Lincs Wilts	J	M	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND ND
10746	Mar 92	Oxon	Ä	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10750	Mar 92	Dyfed	j	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10755	Mar 92	Dorset	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10781	Mar 92	Glamorgan	Α	М	ND	ND	ND	ND
10772	Apr 92	Hants	J	F	0.009	ND	0.325	ND
10779	Apr 92	Lincs	J	М	ND	0.014	ND 0.057	ND
10790 10793	Apr 92	D & G	J J	М	ND ND	ND	0.257 ND	ND
10793	Apr 92 Apr 92	Ayrshire Northants	J	M F	ND	ND ND	ND	ND ND
10931	Apr 92	D & G	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10805	Jun 92	Hants	j	F	0.007	0.004	0.015	0.007
10835	Jun 92	Herts	Ĵ	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10814	Jul 92	Humberside	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10831	Jul 92	Somerset	Ą	F	ND	ND	0.256	ND
10847	Aug 92	Gwynedd	J	M	ND	0.013	ND	ND
10863	Aug 92	Shropshire	J	М	ND	ND	ND	ND
10878	Sep 92	Hants	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND ND
10889	Sep 92	Cheshire	Α	М	ND	ND	ND	ND

10894	Sep 92	D & G	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10895	Sep 92	N. Yorks	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10945	Sep 92	Cornwall	J	F	ND	0.011	ND	ND
10929	Oct 92	Norfolk	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10938	Oct 92	Cumbria	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10948	Oct 92	0xon	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10950	Oct 92	Dyfed	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10958	Oct 92	D & G	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10962	Oct 92	Cambs	J	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
10966	Oct 92	Berks	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10975	Nov 92	Cumbria	J	M	ND	0.046	ND	ND
10980	Nov 92	Cambs	J	М	ND	ND	ND	ND
10985	Nov 92	0xon	J	F	ND	0.009	ND	ND
10989	Nov 92	Essex	J	F	ND	0.012	ND	ND
10990	Nov 92	Devon	J	F	0.161	0.007	ND	ND
11017	Nov 92	Shropshire	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
10992	Dec 92	Cambs	J	М	ND	ND	ND	ND
10993	Dec 92	Cambs	J	М	ND	ND	ND	ND
11001	Dec 92	Cambs	Α	M	ND	ND	ND	ND
11018	Dec 92	Shropshire	J	F	ND	ND	ND	ND

NCC/NERC CONTRACT HF3/08/01 ITE PROJECT T07061f5

Annual report to the Joint Nature Conservation Committee

BIRDS AND POLLUTION

Part 7 Incidents investigated during 1992-93

P Freestone, M C French, H Malcolm, D Osborn, J Wright & C Wyatt

7 INCIDENTS INVESTIGATED DURING 1992-93

Four wildlife mortality and morbidity incidents were investigated during 1992-93. Two involved seabirds, one waders, and one wildfowl. Throughout this section of the report HCH refers to gamma-HCH (lindane).

7.1 Birds killed in the Braer oiling incident

Introduction

Livers of about 40 birds found dead near the Braer incident were analysed for a selected range of organchlorine compounds (HCH, HCB, DDE, HEOD, and DDT), total PCBs, PCB congeners, and metals. This work was done to determine the current levels of these persistent compounds in seabirds, to obtain information on inter-species differences, and to compare levels found in these birds with those found in earlier sampling programmes (eg NERC, 1983). No equivalent survey has been done since the North Sea wreck of seabirds in 1983 (Osborn et al, 1984). There is no reason to believe that these comparisons would be biased by the oiling.

Organochlorine compounds

Mean residues of non-PCB organochlorine compounds, were low (Table 10), and almost certainly of no toxicological significance.

Apart from the 2 razorbills ($Alca\ torda$), the highest mean residues of HCB, HCH, and the DDT group of compounds (DDE, TDE, and DDT) were found in the kittiwakes ($Rissa\ tridactyla$). The highest HEOD residues occurred in puffins ($Fratercula\ arctica$). In general, the levels were similar to those found in earlier studies of contamination in seabirds (NERC 1983; Osborn et al, 1984; Osborn et al, 1987).

PCBs and PCB congeners

Mean total PCB residues (Table 10) were highest in the kittiwakes. These levels were about 4 times higher than that found in the puffin and an order of magnitude above that in the shag (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) and guillemot (*Uria aalge*). Residues in all these birds were similar to levels reported earlier for these species from various locations around the British Isles (NERC, 1983; Osborn et al 1984; Osborn et al 1987). The toxicological significance of these concentrations of PCBs in seabirds is currently under review. There is no reason to believe they were high enough to affect either breeding success or survival (Harris and Osborn, 1981).

The pattern of PCB congeners in these seabirds (Table 11a and 11b) was dominated by the more heavily chlorinated ones (eg 153, 180). In the kittiwake, mean residues of congeners could be ranked in declining order of mean residue: $153 > 170 > 180 > 118 > 138 \dots$ A slightly different congener pattern was found in the puffin: 153 > 138 > 180 > 118 > 170 ; in the guillemot: 138 > 153 > 118 > 170 > 180 ; and in the shag: 153 > 138 > 138 > 180 = 118 > 170

These 5 congeners occur frequently in marine life, partly because of the environmental persistence that results from their high degree of chlorination. They are often the congeners that are found in the highest

concentrations. Other congeners detected in the birds were present at only lower levels (Table 11a and 11b).

With so few birds examined, it is impossible to say whether these patterns of occurrence represent consistent differences between species. Such differences could arise for a number of reasons. For example, because different species have different diets and foraging areas their exposure to congeners or mixtures of congeners may vary. Alternatively, there may be differences between species in their ability to metabolise or retain PCBs. The differences in congener pattern could also indicate the type of PCB that was the original source for these residues, but more work is needed before sources can be identified in this way.

Metals

A range of essential (magnesium, copper, and zinc), non-essential (rubidium and strontium) and toxic metals (silver, cadmium, mercury and lead) were measured by quantitative ICP-MS (Table 12), following preliminary analysis with the ICP-MS in scanning mode to determine which metals of interest might be present in these samples.

The kittiwake contained the highest concentrations of cadmium, mercury and lead. Studies on other species (eg Osborn et al 1979) suggest that levels of cadmium in seabird kidneys are about 6 times those in liver and that tissue damage occurs in birds with cadmium levels in kidney in excess of c. 100 mg/kg dry wt (Nicholson et al 1983). Thus, these kittiwakes may have suffered some kidney damage as a result of contamination with cadmium. The levels of mercury and lead were not high enough to be of toxicological significance. No silver was detected in any of these birds (see section on mute swans).

Levels of other essential and non-essential metals were within normal ranges, except for the values of zinc in the livers of kittiwakes which appeared to be elevated, probably as a result of the presence of sufficient cadmium to have induced metallothionein (which binds cadmium, mercury, and zinc). Metallothionein is a protein specially produced in vertebrates and many other forms of life in response to exposure to certain metals, particularly cadmium (but not lead). The presence of PCB in these samples may also have contributed to enhanced zinc levels (Osborn & Harris, unpublished observations).

Results from qualitative ICP-MS scanning analysis revealed the presence of boron in the kittiwake livers. This was not found in the other species. It is possible that this element originated from the glassware used in sample preparation. This is being investigated, but seems an unlikely explanation, as other samples analysed in this batch contained none of this material.

7.2 Swans from Orkney

The livers of four mute swans (Cygnus olor) from Orkney were analysed for both metal and organic chemicals to try to determine whether these birds were killed by pollutants (Table 10, 11a, 11b and 12). Whilst none of the levels of organic compounds were of significance, the level of silver is of interest, because (i) this element is not normally found in birds (eg

see Braer samples), and (ii) other samples of swan liver from recent years have also contained this metal occasionally.

Although silver is generally regarded as being less toxic to higher animals than lead, antimony and arsenic, it is usually present in humans not occupationally exposed to silver at ug/kg concentrations rather than the mg/kg values measured here. Thus, it seems that these birds had been exposed to unusual quantities of silver. The source is unknown, as is the toxicological significance. Any effects would depend on whether the metal was present as a salt or in a metallic form. If the swans had been acutely exposed to silver, then the concentrations in the liver will be the higher than in other tissues. If they were chronically exposed, other tissues may have contained significant quantities (eg spleen or skin). Toxic effects of silver in vertebrates include pulmonary or immunological Low concentrations of silver are toxic to many forms of aquatic life. There is evidence from earlier studies that some marine species can accumulate up to 16 mg/kg in their tissues (most values being well below this). There appear to be no data on the levels of silver found in wild terrestrial animals.

Copper levels were much higher than those usually found in other groups of birds, but wildfowl generally contain relatively high levels of this essential element (eg Simpson et al 1979). Thus, these levels of copper are not considered too unusual.

The level of rubidium in these birds was higher than the levels of strontium (Table 12), whereas in the seabirds from Shetland rubidium was at the higher concentration. This finding is not unusual. A relationship between rubidium and strontium concentrations in environmental samples is emerging which requires further study before it can be discussed more fully.

7.3 Wader mortalities on the Wash

Some waders were analysed for organochlorine compounds and metals to help eliminate pollutants from the list of possible causes of the unusual migratory patterns and mortalities of wading birds on the Wash during the overwintering period of 1992-93. These unusual events were thought to be primarily the result of food shortage.

None of the levels of chemicals detected (Table 10, 11a, 11b, and 12) were high enough to have contributed to the mortality. Cadmium levels are probably high enough to have induced metallothionein production and thus to have caused some elevation of zinc levels in these birds.

7.4 Further mortalities of seabirds near Bacton

Reports of deaths of auks and other species of birds near Bacton, Norfolk, have been received intermittently since the late-1980s. Past incidents have attracted Parliamentary interest. No cause for these repeated incidents has been determined. Six guillemots that died in the care of a Norfolk animal welfare group during the past year have been analysed for metals and organic substances to see if the levels of any these substances were high enough to have contributed to death (Table 10, 11a, 11b and 12). None of the levels were.

Birds that die in this area are often said to be unable to maintain their normal waterproofing, and this has been attributed by some to exposure to glycols originating from the gas terminal at Bacton. This possibility is being investigated further. The feathers of some of the birds in this group repelled topically applied water, whereas the feathers from others did not.

7.5 References

HARRIS M.P. & OSBORN D 1981. Effect of a polychlorinated biphenyl on the survival and breeding success of puffins. J. Appl. Ecol. 18, 471-479.

NERC 1983. Contaminants in marine top predators. Series C No 23. Swindon: Natural Environment Research Council.

NICHOLSON, J.K., KENDALL, M.D. & OSBORN, D. 1983. Cadmium and mercury nephrotoxicity. Nature, Lond. 304, 633-635.

OSBORN, D., HARRIS M.P. & NICHOLSON, J.K. 1979. Relationships between tissue contaminant concentrations in a small sample of seabirds. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 64C, 61-67.

OSBORN, D., HARRIS M.P. & YOUNG, W.J. 1987. Relationships between tissue contaminant concentrations in a small sample of seabirds. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 87C, 415-420.

OSBORN, D., YOUNG, W.J. & GORE, D.J. 1984. Pollutants in auks from the 1983 North Sea bird wreck. Bird Study 31, 99-102.

SIMPSON, V.R, HUNT, A.E., AND FRENCH, M.C. 1979. Chronic lead poisoning in a herd of mute swans. Environ. Pollut. 18, 187-202.

Figures are arithmetic means ± 1 SE. Figures in parenthesis are the range. nd = none detected. PCB-MAT = PCB level quantified using only peaks corresponding to those found in Arochlor 1254. PCB-TOT = PCB level quantified using all peaks found on GC trace in the region in which PCB congeners occur. Mean levels of organochlorine compounds (mg/kk wet weight) in livers of birds from incident investigations. Table 10:

Area	Species	Sample	нсв	нсн	DDE	НЕОD	TDE	DDT	PCB-MAT	PCB-TOT
Shetland	shag	15	0.02 ± 0.00 (0.01 = 0.06)	nd	0.09 ± 0.03 (0.01 = 0.33)	0.13 ± 0.06 (0.03 = 0.95)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd = 0.01)	pu	0.72 ± 0.24 (0.01 = 3.25)	1.03 ± 0.87 (0.03 – 4.08)
Shetland	guillemot	6	0.05 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.10)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd -0.00)	0.28 ± 0.13 (nd = 1.09)	0.03 ± 0.01 (0.00 = 0.09)	pu	nđ	1.09 ± 0.44 (nd - 3.86)	1.56 ± 0.66 (nd = 5.84)
Shetland	puffin	5	0.07 ± 0.02 (0.01 = 0.16)	nd	0.47 ± 0.23 (0.04 - 1.34)	0.12 ± 0.03 (0.04 - 0.25)	nd	nd	3.20 ± 1.15 (0.16 - 7.09)	4.84 ± 1.61 (0.23 = 9.38)
Shetland	razorbill	2	0.13 (0.10, 0.16)	0.00 (nd, 0.01)	1.30 (0.35, 2.25)	0.26 (0.15 == 0.37)	0.08 (nd, 0.17)	pu	6.39 (2.84, 9.94)	11.7 (5.89, 17.45)
Shetland	kittiwake	10	0.24 ± 0.04 (0.04 - 0.40)	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd -0.02)	0.92 ±0.28 (0.03 - 0.76)	0.09 ± 0.01 (0.03 - 0.16)	0.01 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.09)	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.04)	16.79 ± 3.49 (2.74 – 34.65)	20.4 ± 4.0 4.0 (3.0 = 41.0)
The Wash	oyster- catcher	10	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.01)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.01)	0.27 ± 0.08 (0.03 - 0.76)	0.14 ± 0.02 (0.05 - 0.23)	0.01 ± 0.01 (nd = 0.08)	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.02)	2.00 ± 0.50 (0.57 + 4.93)	3.49 ± 1.16 (0.69 - 12.5)
Bacton	guillemot	9	0.07 ± 0.02 (0.01 = 0.12)	0.00 ± 00.00 (nd - 0.00)	0.78 ± 0.14 (0.31 - 1.27)	0.06 ± 0.02 (0.02 - 0.12)	0.01 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.03)	0.02 ± 0.02 (nd = 0.12)	7.10 ± 2.91 (0.67 - 18.7)	8.26 ± 3.49 (0.74 = 23.05)
Orkney	mute swan	ф	pu	pu	0.01 ± 0.00 (0.00 = 0.02)	0.06 ± 0.01 (0.04 - 0.07)	0.01 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.03)	0.21 ± 0.20 9nd ≡ 0.82)	0.18 ± 0.05 (0.03 = 0.29)	0.22 ± 0.05 (0.07 - 0.33)

Mean PCB congener levels (mg/kg wet weight) in livers of birds from incident investigations. Figures are arithmetic means \pm 1 SE. Figures in parenthesis show the range. nd = none detected. Table 11a:

	1	.30			и		-	
118	0.03 ± 0.01 (0.00 0.14)	0.03 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.23)	0.09 ± 0.04 (nd - 0.23)	0.27 (0.08 0.47)	0.39 ± 0.08 ((0.05 0.86)	0.05 ± 0.02 (0.01 0.14)	0.21 ± 0.08 (0.03 0.55)	0.00 0.00 (nd 0.01)
101	pu	pu	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.04)	0.03 (0.01 0.04)	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.03)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd = 0.03)	nd	0.01 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.03)
77	pu	pu	0.09 ± 0.09 (nd - 0.25)	pu	0.02 ± 0.02 (nd - 0.14)	pq	nd	pu
52	pu	pu	0.01 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.04)	0.04 (nd, 0.07)	0.03 ± 0.04 (nd - 0.08)	nd	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.01)	nđ
31	pu	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd = 0.02)	0.05 ± 0.02 (nd - 0.13)	0.02 (0.02, 0.02)	0.04 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.08)	pu	0.03 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.08)	nđ
28	pu	ри	nd	nd	pu	pu	pu	pu
18	pu	pu	nd	pu	nd × bn	pu	pu	nd
8	pu	pu	pu	pu	pq	nd	nd	nd
Sample size	15	6	5	2	10	10	9	4
Species	shag	guillemot	puffin	razorbill	kittiwake	oyster- catcher	guillemot	mute swan
Area	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	The Wash	Bacton	Orkney

Mean PCB congener levels (mg/kg wet weight) in livers of birds from incident investigations. Figures are arithmetic means \pm 1 SE. Figures in parenthesis show the range. nd \approx none detected. Table 11b:

180	0.03 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.19)	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd = 0.05)	0.15 ± 0.07 (nd - 0.05)	0.31 (0.08, 0.54)	1.18 ± 0.27 (0.15 = 2.64)	0.08 ± 0.02 (0.02 - 0.16)	0.21 ± 0.10 (0.01 - 0.68)	0.00 0.00 (nd - 0.00)
170	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.09)	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.09)	0.07 ± 0.01 (0.01 - 0.17)	0.02 (nd, 0.04)	0.43 ± 0.09 (0.07 ± 0.89)	0.03 ± 0.00 (0.02 - 0.04)	0.14 ± 0.06 (0.01 - 0.38)	pu
169	nd	0,00 ± 0,00 (nd - 0.01)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.01)	0.19 (0.00, 0.03)	0.02 ± 0.09 (0.02 - 0.04)	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.03)	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.03)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.01)
153	0.16 ± 0.06 (nd - 0.75)	0.09 ± 0.03 (nd = 0.21)	0.45 ± 0.17 (0.03 - 0.77)	1.44 (0.41, 2.48)	3.33 ± 0.71 (0.46 = 6.65)	0.35 ± 0.12 (0.05 - 1.04)	1.01 ± .54 (0.06 - 3.60)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd = 0.02)
149	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.02)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.03)	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd = 0.05)	0.20 (0.08, 0.32)	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd = 0.04)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd = 0.01)	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd = 0.06)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.01)
138	0.08 ± 0.23 (nd - 0.31)	0.12± 0.05 (nd - 0.40)	0.37 * 0.14 (0.03 - 0.66)	1.11 (0.33, 1.88)	0.10 ± 0.02 (0.03 - 0.21)	0.24 ± 0.08 (0.05 - 0.74)	1.00 ± 0.43 (0.09 = 2.78)	0.04 ± 0.02 (nd - 0.09)
128	0.01 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.05)	0.02 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.09)	0.04 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.07)	0.20 (0.06, 0.33)	0.02 ± 0.01 0.01 (nd - 0.05)	0.04 ± 0.01 (0.01 = 0.38)	0.17 ± 0.07 (0.02 - 0.38)	pu
126	pu	ри	pu	pu	pu	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.02)	0.00 ± 0.00 (nd - 0.01)	nd
Sample size	15	6	ιν	2	10	10	9	4
Species	shag	guillemot	puffin	razorbill	kittiwake	oyster- catcher	guillemot	mute swan
Area	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	The Wash	Bacton	Orkney

Mean metal levels (mg/kg dry weight) in livers of birds from incident investigations. Figures are arithmetic means ± 1SE. Figures in parenthesis are the range. nd = not detected. Table 12:

Hg	2.3 ± 0.5 (0.7 - 7.7)	1.2 ± 0.3 (0.2 − 1.8)	2.6 ± 0.4 (1.4 - 3.4)	1.9 (1.4, 2.4)	5.2 ± 0.8 (1.6 = 8.7)	3.9 ± 0.5 (1.3 = 6.7)	4.7 ± 0.8 (2.0 - 7.9)	1.1 ± 0.5 (0.4 = 2.4)
Pb	0.03 ± 0.02 (nd - 0.24)	0.01 ± 0.01 (nd - 0.07)	pu	pu	0.2 ± 0.1 (nd - 1.1)	1.1 ± 0.2 (0.4 - 2.4)	0.004 ± 0.004 (nd - 0.02)	0.40 ± 0.21 (0.02 = 0.90)
Cd	2.3 ± 0.6 (0.2 - 9.1)	4.0 ± 1.4 (0.2 - 6.7)	9.7 ± 4.0 (0.2 - 6.7)	3.7 (1.7, 5.7)	53 ± 14 (3 - 122)	12.2 ± 5.5 (2.5 - 59.7)	2.1 ± 0.4 (0.9 = 3.2)	2.0 ± 0.5 (1.5 - 3.4)
Ag	pu	pu	nd	nd	pu	pu	pu	2.2 ± 1.6 (0.4 - 7.0)
Sr	11.5 ± 3.2 (1.1 = 46.2)	9.6 ± 3.8 (2.3 - 14.9)	24.1 ± 9.6 (2.3 - 55.7)	42.7 (29.2, 56.3)	26.5 ± 17.6 (1.6 = 181)	4.5 ± 1.1 (0.7 = 12)	0.2 ± 0.0 (0.1 = 0.3)	0.9 ± 0.1 (0.8 = 1.3)
Rb	3.7 ± 0.4 (1.1 = 5.6)	3.9 ± 0.7 (1.2 ± 4.8)	2.0 ± 0.7 (0.5 - 3.7)	1.1 (0.7, 1.4)	5.5 ± 019 (3.0 - 12.7)	9.0 ± 0.9 (4.4 – 13.5)	3.4 ± 0.4 (1.5 - 4.7)	19.8 ± 5.1 (5.6 = 28.2)
Zn	215 ± 23 (108 = 374)	157 ± 28 (77 = 356)	121 ± 10 (91 - 150)	152 (143, 161)	228 ± 26 (88 - 319)	276 ± 15 (214 == 357)	179 ± 23 (127 - 264)	282 ± 102 (124 = 581)
Cu	41 ± 7 (19 = 122)	45 ± 9 (15 - 109)	40 ± 5 (26 - 50)	50 (44, 57)	47 ± 6 (16 - 77)	18 ± 2 (8 = 28)	60 ± 12 (12 - 104)	1140 ± 55 (1050 - 1280)
Mg	1550± 258 (681 - 4020)	1550 ± 432 (651 - 4640)	2720 ± 847 (782 = 4880)	4161 (35810, 4740)	3170 ± 1180 (977 − 13400)	738 ± 58.3 (390 = 980)	633 ± 90.6 (36 4 - 956)	634 ± 36 (546 - 722)
Sample size	15	6	5	2	10	10	9	4
Species	shag	guillemot	puffin	razorbill	kittiwake	oyster- catcher	guillemot	mute swan
Area	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	Shetland	The Wash	Bacton	Orkney

ITE has administrative headquarters north and south, and the geographical distribution of its 250 staff in six Research Stations throughout Britain allows efficient use of resources for regional studies and provides an understanding of local ecological and land use characteristics.

This report is an official document prepared under contract between the customer and the Natural Environment Research Council. It should not be quoted without the permission of both the Institute of Terrestrial Ecology and the customer.

ITE NORTH

Edinburgh Research Station

and ITE(N) Directorate
Bush Estate
Penicuik
Midlothian EH26 OQB
Tel: 031 445 4343; Telex 72579

Fax: 031 445 3943

Banchory Research Station

Hill of Brathens Glassel Banchory Kincardineshire AB31 4BY Tel: 033 02 3434 Fax: 033 02 3303

Merlewood Research Station

Grange-over-Sands Cumbria LA11 6JU Tel: 05395 32264; Telex 65102

Fax: 05395 34705

ITE SOUTH

Monks Wood

and ITE(S) Directorate Abbots Ripton Huntingdon Cambs PE17 2LS Tel: 048 73 381; Telex 32416 Fax: 048 73 467

Bangor Research Unit

University College of North Wales Deiniol Road Bangor Gwynedd LL57 2UP Tel: 0248 370045; Telex 61224 Fax: 0248 355365

Furzebrook Research Station

Wareham
Dorset BH20 5AS
Tel: 0929 551518
Fax: 0929 551087

The ITE Research Marketing Officers for ITE North and South are based at Banchory and Monks Wood, respectively.



